




Proposed Central Staff Facility, Coolmine Operations Depot, Coolmine Industrial Estate, Dublin 15

Report For Screening For Appropriate Assessment

Prepared By
Fingal County Council
April 2018



Contents

1. Introduction
2. Methodology
3. Screening for Appropriate Assessment
 - 3.1 Background
 - 3.2 Potential zone of influence
 - 3.3 Study Area and surrounding environment
 - 3.3.1. Site location and European sites
 - 3.4 Description of the proposed development
 - 3.5 Links to European sites, including cumulative effects
 - 3.5.1 European sites and habitats with links to European sites
 - 3.5.2 Other European sites
 - 3.5.3 Other designated conservation areas (other than European sites)
 - 3.6 Potential impacts during demolition and construction
 - 3.7 Potential impacts during operation
4. Other Issues
5. Mitigation specific to European sites
6. In-combination effects
7. Screening Conclusion

Appendices

Appendix 1: References

Appendix 2: NPWS: Site Synopsis Reports and Dublin Bay and Rive Tolka Estuary SPA:
Conservation Objective Series

Figures

Figure 1: Location of proposed development site at Coolmine Depot, Coolmine, D15

Figure 2: Study site at Coolmine showing European sites.

1. Introduction

This document constitutes an Appropriate Assessment Screening Report. The purpose of the report is to provide the information required to enable Fingal County Council, the competent authority, to undertake a screening exercise for Appropriate Assessment (AA). This will determine the effects, if any, on European sites, also known as Natura 2000 Sites (Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Areas (SPA) designated for nature conservation), of the proposed development at Fingal County Council's Coolmine Depot, Coolmine Industrial Estate, Co. Dublin.

A desk study review and site visit were undertaken and the potential impacts on European sites, both as a result of the proposed works and in-combination with other plans and projects, are appraised in this report.

The requirements are set out under Article 6 of the Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the Conservation of natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (as amended) (hereafter "the Habitats Directive". This is transposed in Ireland primarily by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477/2011) (hereafter the Birds and Habitats Regulations) and the Planning and Development (Amendment) Act, 2010 as amended.

2. Methodology

This Report was prepared with regard to the following guidance documents, where relevant:

- Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities. (Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, 2010 revision);
- Appropriate Assessment under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive: Guidance for Planning Authorities. Circular NPW 1/10 & PSSP 2/10;
- Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 sites: methodological Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6 (3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission Environment Directorate-General, 2001); hereafter referred to as the EC Article 6 Guidance Document. The guidance within this document provides a non-mandatory methodology for carrying out assessments required under Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive;
- Managing Natura 2000 Sites: The Provisions of Article 6 of the Habitat's Directive 92/43/EEC (EC Environment Directorate-General, 2000); hereafter referred to as MN2000 (European Commission, 2000a); and
- Communication from the Commission on the precautionary principle (European Commission, 2000b).

The report takes full account of the design of the proposed development and a detailed examination of all relevant elements of the proposal was undertaken.

Given the amount of information available, including that from the Councils' Architects Department and the Council's Operations Department (the Proposing Department), it has been possible to gather adequate information on the site and the adjacent area (in particular, the

European sites), in order to make an informed, sound judgement as to the potential impacts of the proposed development on the qualifying interests of the European sites.

The above reference guidance sets out a staged process for carrying out Appropriate Assessment. To determine if Appropriate Assessment is required, documented screening is required. Screening identifies whether any significant effects on European sites are likely from a proposed plan or project, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects.

An AA is required if likely significant effects on European sites arising from a proposed project cannot be ruled out at the screening stage, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

The information that was collected was based on a desk study carried out during Easter 2018; with a site visit/survey undertaken on 22nd March 2018.

- Information relied upon included the following information sources, which included maps, ecological and water quality data:
- Ordnance Survey of Ireland mapping and aerial photography available from www.osi.ie;
- Online data available on European sites as held by the National parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) from www.npws.ie;
- Information on land-use zoning from the Fingal Development Plan 2017-2023
- Information on water quality in the area available from www.epa.ie;
- Information on the Eastern River Basin District from www.wfdireland.ie;
- Information on soils, geology and hydrology in the area available from www.gsi.ie;
- Information on water catchments in Ireland from www.catchments.ie;
- Information on the location, nature and design of the proposed development supplied by the Fingal County Council Architect's Department;
- Information on the conservation status of birds in Ireland (Colhoun & Cummins, 2013); and
- Information on the Status of EU Protected Habitats in Ireland (National Parks & Wildlife Service 2013a & 2013b).

The following planning and policy documents were relevant to the subject lands, in particular with regard to the assessment of other plans and projects with potential for cumulative effects:

- National Biodiversity Plan 2011 – 2016 (Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, 2011);
- Eastern River Basin District Management Plan 2009 – 2015 (ERBDMP, 2010);
- Fingal Biodiversity Action plan 2010 – 2015 (Fingal County Council, 2010); and
- Fingal Development Plan 2017-2023 (Fingal County Council, 2017), including the accompanying Natura Impact Report.

A full list of References referred to are given in Appendix 1.

Screening for Appropriate Assessment

3.1 Background

The first part of the Appropriate Assessment is the Screening phase. Screening identifies the likely effects of the proposed development on European sites that could arise, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, and considers whether these impacts are likely to have a significant effect on the European site in view of the site's conservation objectives. Specifically the European Guidance (Managing European sites: the provisions of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC European Commission 2000) recommends that screening should fulfil the following steps:

1. Determine whether the plan (or policy) is directly connected with or necessary for the management of European sites;
2. Describe the plan and describe and characterise any other plans or projects which, in combination, have the potential for having significant effects on European sites;
3. Identify the potential effects on European sites;
4. Assess the likely significance of any effects on European sites.

Following screening, if there is a possibility of there being a significant effect on a European site, this will generate the need for an appropriate assessment for the purposes of Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive. This means that if the conclusions at the end of the screening exercise are that significant effects on any European sites, as a result of the proposed development, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects, are likely, uncertain or unknown, then an appropriate Assessment must be carried out. This is in accordance with established precedent and case law.

Conservation objectives are intended to represent the aims of the Habitats and Bird Directives in relation to that site. To this end, habitats and species of the European Community importance should be maintained or restored to 'favourable conservation status, as defined in Article 1 of the Habitats Directive

3.2 Potential zone of influence

For the risk of an adverse effect to occur there must be a 'source', such as a construction site; a 'receptor', such as a designated site for nature conservation; and a pathway between the source and the receptor, such as a watercourse that links the construction site to the designated site. Although there may be a risk of an impact it may not necessarily occur, and if it does occur, it may not be significant.

Identification of risk means that there is a possibility of ecological or environmental damage occurring, with the level and significance of the impact depending upon the nature and exposure to the risk and the characteristics of the receptor.

There are no set recommended distances for projects to consider European sites as being relevant for assessment. Rather, NPWS (2010) recommends that 'the distance should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis with reference to the nature, size and location of the project,

and the sensitivities of the ecological receptors, and the potential for in combination effects'. As a general rule of thumb, it is often considered to include all European sites within 15km.

However, in some instances where there are hydrological connections a whole river catchment or a groundwater aquifer may need to be included. Similarly where bird flight paths are involved the impact may be on an SPA more than 15km away. Taking this into account, as a starting point a search was carried out for all European sites within 15km of the site at Coolmine. This search was then extended in order to ensure that all European sites with any potential links to the proposed development were accounted for in the study.

3.3 Study area and surrounding environment

3.3.1 Site location and European sites

The proposed development (Figure 1) comprises Fingal County Council Operations Depot located with-in the Coolmine Industrial Estate. The location is which is shown below.


The overall area is characterised by a mix of industrial buildings with residential development to the south and public open Space to the east. With-in the Coolmine Depot, the proposed development is located in the northern portion of the Depot.



Fingal County Council, Coolmine Depot, Coolmine Industrial Estate, Dublin 15.

There are a number of European sites located within a 15km radius of the proposed development (see Figure 2). These are:

- North Dublin Bay SAC (000206)
- North Bull Island SPA (0004006)
- South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA (004024)
- Rye Water Valley/Carton SAC (001398)
- Glenasmole Valley SAC (001209)



A synopsis from the National Parks and Wildlife Service of each of the above is given in Appendix 2 to this Report.

The nearest of these designated sites is the South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA. The proposed development site is potentially linked to this by surface water/ local watercourses/drainage ditches which are tributaries of the Tolka River which in turn flows into North Dublin Bay at East Wall. The full Conservation Objective Series published by the National Parks and Wildlife Service is also included in Appendix 2.

3.4 Description of proposed development

The proposed development comprises demolition of existing building and the erection of two pre-fabricated extensions (total floor area 486 sqm) either side of existing pre-fabricated staff canteen building which comprise an outdoor staff changing rooms and toilet extension (297 sqm) and an office extension (189 sqm), plus associated ground works and service connections.

3.5 Links to European sites, including cumulative effects

3.5.1 European sites and habitats with links to European sites

The proposed development site is not under any wildlife or conservation designation. Furthermore, no rare, threatened or legally protected plant species, as listed in the Irish Red Data Book 1 – Vascular Plants (Curtis & McGough, 1988), the Flora protection Order, 2015 or the EU Habitats Directive, are known to occur within the site.

No features of ecological significance are present on the proposed development site.

No evidence of any habitats or species linked to European sites was recorded during the site visit/survey or desk study and no 'reservoir' type habitats are present. There will be no loss of habitat or species listed as a Qualifying Interest or Special Conservation Interest of any designated site as a consequence of the works. There is, therefore, no potential for the cumulative effects on habitat loss or fragmentation to occur. There will be no significant effects on the European sites as a result of:

- Impacts to habitat structure;
- Mortality to species;
- Noise pollution;
- Air pollution.

A potential surface water pathway exists between the proposed development and the

- South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA (004024)
- North Dublin Bay SAC (000206) and North Bull Island SPA (0004006)

However, the nearest of these sites, South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA (004024) and the North Dublin Bay SPA is approximately 11 km distant from the proposed development boundary. It is considered that no other European sites have any connection (pathway) with the proposed development at Coolmine, due to their locations, intervening topography and the

features (Qualifying Interests and Special Conservation Interests) for which they are designated. These sites are not considered further in this report.

Notwithstanding the above it is noted that the South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA overlaps with the South Dublin SAC (000210). It adjoins North Bull Island SPA (004006) and North Dublin Bay SAC (000206) and as such any impact on the integrity of these sites and their conservation objectives is taken into account for these overlapping and adjacent sites as appropriate.

Having regard to the **Conservation Objectives of the South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA**, and the following comments are made:

Reasons for designation (information correct as of 22nd March 2018) are as follows:

A046 Light-bellied Brent Goose *Branta bernicla hrota*

A130 Oystercatcher *Haematopus ostralegus*

A137 Ringed Plover *Charadrius hiaticula*

A141 Grey Plover *Pluvialis squatarola*

A143 Knot *Calidris canutus*

A144 Sanderling *Calidris alba*

A149 Dunlin *Calidris alpina alpina*

A157 bar-tailed Godwit *Limosa lapponica*

A162 Redshank *Tringa tetanus*

A179 Black-headed Gull *Chroicocephalus riibundus*

A192 Roseate Tern *Sterna dougallii*

A193 Common Tern *Sterna hirundo*

A194 Artic Tern *Sterna paradisaea*

A999 Wetlands

Important Flora and Fauna (Optional) *Larus argentatus*

According to the SPAs site Conservation Objectives (dated 9th March 2015) for each of the listed Qis, the Conservation Objective is to maintain or restore the favourable condition of the Annex 1 habitat(s) and/or the Annex II species for which the SPA has been selected.

Site Characteristics and Percentage Cover

NO1 (40.0 %), NO2 (58.0 %), NO4 (1.0 %) and NO5 (1.0%)

Other site Characteristics

This site comprises a substantial part of Dublin Bay. It includes virtually all of the intertidal area in the south bay, as well as much of the Tolka Estuary to the north of the River Liffey. A portion of the shallow bay waters is also included. In the south bay, the intertidal flats extend for almost 3 km at their widest. The sediments are predominantly well-aerated sands. The sands support the largest stand of *Zostera noltii* on the East Coast. Several permanent channels exist, the largest being Cockle Lake. A small sandy beach occurs at Merrion Gates, while some bedrock shore occurs near Dun Laoghaire. The landward boundary is now almost entirely artificially embanked. Sediments in the Tolka Estuary vary from soft thixotropic muds with a high organic content in the inner estuary to exposed, well aerated sands off the Bull Wall. The proximity of the site to Dublin City results in it being a very popular recreational area. It is also important for educational and research purposes.

Quality and Importance

The site possesses extensive intertidal flats which support wintering waterfowl which are part of the overall Dublin Bay population. It regularly has an internationally important population of *Branta bernicla hrota*, which feeds on *Zostera noltii* in the autumn. It has nationally important numbers of a further 6 species: *Haematopus ostralegus*, *Charadrius hiaticula*, *Calidris canutus*, *Calidris alba*, *Calidris alpina* and *Limosa lapponica*. It is an important site for wintering gulls, especially *Larus ridibundus* and *Larus canus*. South Dublin Bay is the premier site in Ireland for *Larus melanocephalus*, with up to 20 birds present at times. Is a regular autumn roosting ground for significant numbers of terns, including *Sterna dougallii*, *S. hirundo* and *S. paradisaea*.

Comments on the Source – Pathway – Receptor Link

While there are potential links between the proposed development site and the SPA via surface water, no significant impacts on water quality are predicted.

While surface water arising during construction works could potentially contain pollutants (suspended solids, hydrocarbons and other chemicals) there would be no significant impacts on the conservation objectives on European sites due to the temporary nature of any such discharges (the construction stage only), the comparatively small volumes of potential discharges and the significant separation between the proposed development site and the European sites. In addition construction methodology will ensure there will be no impacts on water quality, and therefore no impacts on the qualifying nature of any designated sites as a result of the proposed development.

The management of surface water for the proposed development once it is operational will be designed in accordance with the policies and guidelines outlined in the Greater Dublin Drainage Study (GDSDS) and the requirements of Fingal County Council (see Section 3.6 below).

Foul water at the site will be discharged into the existing foul sewer. No impacts on water quality are expected to arise once the development is operational and a connection to the foul sewer and the sewer itself is maintained (see Section 3.7 below).

There will be no residual operational phase impacts on European sites or qualifying features as a result of the proposed development.

Other European sites

No other European sites, including those listed in Section 3.3.1, are considered to be relevant to this assessment of the proposed development at Coolmine. It is not necessary to consider any sites further in this report.

3.5.3 Other designated conservation areas (other than European sites)

The nearest site designated for nature conservation is the Liffey Valley pNHA and WFDRPA to the south however given local topography, drainage patterns and distance between the proposed development and the river Liffey and Liffey Valley and the scale and nature of the proposed development, as well as the reasons that these sites have been designated, no impacts are expected to arise at these or any other non-European designated site.

3.6 Potential impacts during demolition and construction

All construction activities, including demolition, pose a potential risk to water courses, as surface water arising at a site may contain contaminants. The main contaminants arising from construction activities may include suspended solids, hydrocarbons and concrete/cement products. If not properly managed, such pollutants could pose a temporary risk to surface water quality through surface water run-off during the construction phase.

There is therefore a minor risk of contamination. However there would be no significant impacts on the conservation objectives of the European sites due to the temporary nature of any such discharges (the construction phase only, the comparatively small volumes of potential discharges and the significant separation between the proposed development and the European site, particularly taking into account the watercourse geography).

Nevertheless, in the event that contaminated water should enter any drainage system or watercourse during the construction or operation of the proposed development, there is the potential for negative impacts on the integrity of designated sites. It is considered that this possibility is remote, provided that – as recommended – standard best-practice water protection measures are adhered to during the construction phase of the project.

In order to ensure that there are no impacts, either on European sites, pNHAs or on water quality in general during construction, all hazardous substances, such as fuels, oils, cement and concrete products, will be stored on-site in secured areas remote from drainage connections to the existing surface water drainage network.

The contractor will take adequate precautions as part of the construction methodology to avoid any pollution from construction activities via run-off to the surface water drainage network.

The implementation and effectiveness of these standard best-practice mitigation measures will be inspected and recorded regularly during the entire construction period and where deficiencies or faults are identified they will be remediated immediately by the contractor.

3.7 potential impacts during operation

The management of surface water for the proposed development will be designed in accordance with the policies and guidelines outlined in the Greater Dublin strategic Drainage Study (GDSDS) and to the requirements of Fingal county Council.

The guidelines require the following measures to be catered for in the design:

- Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS);
- Surface water attenuation by restricting new surface water discharge to existing greenfield run-off rate;
- Surface water design to accommodate rainfall events up to 1:100 year return event; and
- Climate change allowance

SUDS proposals will include permeable paving, if appropriate; along with rainwater harvesting system to be used primarily for existing vehicular was bay adjacent to the site and also toilets. Pollution prevention will be addressed using the SUDS strategy, i.e. a petrol interceptor, and silt trap to be used as well as grease traps within the canteen areas.

With regard to foul drainage, no intensification is proposed, with the foul discharge to connect into the existing public network using existing connection with private plumbing only as required. No impacts on water quality are expected to arise once the system is operational and is maintained in full working order.

There will be no residual operational phase impacts on European sites or qualifying features as a result of the proposed development.

4. Other issues

While no invasive plant species (i.e. those species listed on Schedule 3 of the Birds and Habitats Regulations, 2011) were identified on site there is a risk that during construction such species could be introduced to the site. Avoidance of such risk will be managed during the construction period and no such species will be planted or deliberately imported to the site.

5. Mitigation specific to European sites

In relation to European sites, there will be no impacts as a result of the proposed development. Therefore no mitigation is proposed, other than best-practice methodologies and site management measures to be employed during construction and operation. These primarily relate to the protection of surface and ground water,, and are described earlier in this report, Section 3.6 and 3.7 refer.

6. In-combination effects

It is a requirement of the Birds and natural Habitats Regulations, 2011 that when considering whether a plan or project will adversely affect the integrity of a European site the assessment must take into account in-combination effects with other or current or reasonably foreseeable plans and projects.

- If it can be clearly demonstrated that the plan or project will not result in any effects at all that are relevant to the integrity of a European site then the plan or project should proceed without considering the in-combination test, further;
- If there are identified effects arising from the plan or project even if they are perceived as minor and not likely to have a significant effect on the integrity of a European site alone, then these effects must be considered 'on-combination' with the effects arising from other plans or projects.

As appraised in this report the proposed development will not have any significant effects on its own or in-combination with other developments will have no impact on the European sites.

7. Screening Conclusion

On the best scientific evidence it can clearly demonstrated that no elements of the project will result in any impact on the integrity or Qualifying Interests/Special Conservation Interests of any relevant European site, either on their own or in-combination with other plans or projects, in light of their conservation objectives.

It is therefore determined that the proposed development will not affect the integrity of any of the relevant European sites under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) in light of their conservation objectives.

Appendix 1

References

Colhoun K. & Cummins S. (2013). Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland 2014–2019. BirdWatch Ireland.

Council of the European Communities (1992) Council Directive of 21 May 1992 on The Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC). O. J. L 206/35, 22 July 1992.

Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2010) Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland - Guidance for Planning Authorities (Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Rev Feb 2010)

Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2011) Actions for Biodiversity 2011 – 2016, Ireland's National Biodiversity Plan.

Eastern River Basin District (2009). Eastern River Basin District, River Basin Management Plan 2009 – 2015.

European Commission (EC) (2000a). Managing Natura 2000 sites: The Provisions of Article 6 of the Habitat's Directive 92/43/EEC (EC Environment Directorate-General, 2000); hereinafter referred to as "MN2000".

European Commission (EC) (2000b). Communication from the Commission on the precautionary principle.

European Commission (EC) (2001). Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 sites: Methodological Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission Environment Directorate-General).

European Commission (EC) (2007). Guidance Document on Article 6(4) of the 'Habitats Directive' 92/43/EEC. Clarification of the Concepts of Alternative Solutions, Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest, Compensatory Measures, Overall Coherence. Opinion of the European Commission.

European Parliament and European Council (2009). Directive 2009/147/EC of 30th November 2009 on the Conservation of Wild Birds (2009/147/EC). O.J. L20/7, 26th January 2010.

Irish Water (2014). North Lotts and Grand Canal Docks SDZ Oral Hearing Evidence (24th February 2014).

NPWS (2010). Circular NPW 1/10 & PSSP 2/10 Appropriate Assessment under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive: Guidance for Planning Authorities. (Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, March 2010).

NPWS (2013a). The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Species Assessments Volume 2, Version 1.0. Unpublished Report, National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

NPWS (2013b). The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Species Assessments Volume 3, Version 1.0. Unpublished Report, National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

Appendix 2



Site Name: North Dublin Bay SAC

Site Code: 000206

This site covers the inner part of north Dublin Bay, the seaward boundary extending from the Bull Wall lighthouse across to the Martello Tower at Howth Head. The North Bull Island is the focal point of this site.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

- [1140] Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats
- [1210] Annual Vegetation of Drift Lines
- [1310] *Salicornia* Mud
- [1330] Atlantic Salt Meadows
- [1410] Mediterranean Salt Meadows
- [2110] Embryonic Shifting Dunes
- [2120] Marram Dunes (White Dunes)
- [2130] Fixed Dunes (Grey Dunes)*
- [2190] Humid Dune Slacks
- [1395] Petalwort (*Petalophyllum ralfsii*)

North Bull Island is a sandy spit which formed after the building of the South Wall and Bull Wall in the 18th and 19th centuries. It now extends for about 5 km in length and is up to 1 km wide in places. A well-developed and dynamic dune system stretches along the seaward side of the island. Various types of dunes occur, from fixed dune grassland to pioneer communities on foredunes. Marram Grass (*Ammophila arenaria*) is dominant on the outer dune ridges, with Lyme-grass (*Leymus arenarius*) and Sand Couch (*Elymus farctus*) on the foredunes. Behind the first dune ridge, plant diversity increases with the appearance of such species as Wild Pansy (*Viola tricolor*), Kidney Vetch (*Anthyllis vulneraria*), Common Bird's-foot-trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*), Common Restharrow (*Ononis repens*), Yellow-rattle (*Rhinanthus minor*) and Pyramidal Orchid (*Anacamptis pyramidalis*). In these grassy areas and slacks, the scarce Bee Orchid (*Ophrys apifera*) occurs.

About 1 km from the tip of the island, a large dune slack with a rich flora occurs, usually referred to as the 'Alder Marsh' because of the presence of Alder trees (*Alnus glutinosa*). The water table is very near the surface and is only slightly brackish. Saltmarsh Rush (*Juncus maritimus*) is the dominant species, with Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*) and Devil's-bit Scabious (*Succisa pratensis*) being frequent. The orchid flora is notable and includes Marsh Helleborine (*Epipactis palustris*), Common

Twayblade (*Listera ovata*), Autumn Lady's-tresses (*Spiranthes spiralis*) and Marsh Orchids (*Dactylorhiza* spp.).

Saltmarsh extends along the length of the landward side of the island. The edge of the marsh is marked by an eroding edge which varies from 20 cm to 60 cm high. The marsh can be zoned into different levels according to the vegetation types present. On the lower marsh, Glasswort (*Salicornia europaea*), Common Saltmarsh-grass (*Puccinellia maritima*), Annual Sea-blite (*Suaeda maritima*) and Greater Sea-spurrey (*Spergularia media*) are the main species. Higher up in the middle marsh Sea Plantain (*Plantago maritima*), Sea Aster (*Aster tripolium*), Sea Arrowgrass (*Triglochin maritima*) and Thrift (*Armeria maritima*) appear. Above the mark of the normal high tide, species such as Common Scurvygrass (*Cochlearia officinalis*) and Sea Milkwort (*Glaux maritima*) are found, while on the extreme upper marsh, the rushes *Juncus maritimus* and *J. gerardi* are dominant. Towards the tip of the island, the saltmarsh grades naturally into fixed dune vegetation.

The habitat 'annual vegetation of drift lines' is found in places, along the length of Dollymount Strand, with species such as Sea Rocket (*Cakile maritima*), Oraches (*Atriplex* spp.) and Prickly Saltwort (*Salsola kali*).

The island shelters two intertidal lagoons which are divided by a solid causeway. The sediments of the lagoons are mainly sands with a small and varying mixture of silt and clay. The north lagoon has an area known as the "Salicornia flat", which is dominated by *Salicornia dolichostachya*, a pioneer glasswort species, and covers about 25 ha. Beaked Tasselweed (*Ruppia maritima*) occurs in this area, along with some Narrow-leaved Eelgrass (*Zostera angustifolia*). Dwarf Eelgrass (*Z. noltii*) also occurs in Sutton Creek. Common Cordgrass (*Spartina anglica*) occurs in places but its growth is controlled by management. Green algal mats (*Enteromorpha* spp., *Ulva lactuca*) cover large areas of the flats during summer. These sediments have a rich macrofauna, with high densities of Lugworms (*Arenicola marina*) in parts of the north lagoon. Mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) occur in places, along with bivalves such as *Cerastoderma edule*, *Macoma balthica* and *Scrobicularia plana*. The small gastropod *Hydrobia ulvae* occurs in high densities in places, while the crustaceans *Corophium volutator* and *Carcinus maenas* are common. The sediments on the seaward side of North Bull Island are mostly sands. The site extends below the low spring tide mark to include an area of the sublittoral zone.

Three rare plant species which are legally protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 1999 have been recorded on the North Bull Island. These are Lesser Centaury (*Centaureum pulchellum*), Red Hemp-nettle (*Galeopsis angustifolia*) and Meadow Saxifrage (*Saxifraga granulata*). Two further species listed as threatened in the Red Data Book, Wild Clary/Sage (*Salvia verbenaca*) and Spring Vetch (*Vicia lathyroides*), have also been recorded. A rare liverwort, *Petalophyllum ralfsii*, was first recorded from the North Bull Island in 1874 and has recently been confirmed as still present. This species is of high conservation value as it is listed on Annex II of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The North Bull is the only known extant site for the species in Ireland away from the western seaboard.

North Dublin Bay is of international importance for waterfowl. During the 1994/95 to 1996/97 period the following species occurred in internationally important numbers (figures are average maxima): Brent Goose 2,333; Knot 4,423; Bar-tailed Godwit 1,586. A further 14 species occurred in nationally important concentrations - Shelduck 1505; Wigeon 1,166; Teal 1,512; Pintail 334; Shoveler 239; Oystercatcher 2,190; Ringed Plover 346; Grey Plover 816; Sanderling 357; Dunlin 6,238; Black-tailed Godwit 156; Curlew 1,193; Turnstone 197 and Redshank 1,175. Some of these species frequent South Dublin Bay and the River Tolka Estuary for feeding and/or roosting purposes (mostly Brent Goose, Oystercatcher, Ringed Plover, Sanderling and Dunlin).

The tip of the North Bull Island is a traditional nesting site for Little Tern. A high total of 88 pairs nested in 1987. However, nesting attempts have not been successful since the early 1990s. Ringed Plover, Shelduck, Mallard, Skylark, Meadow Pipit and Stonechat also nest. A well-known population of Irish Hare is resident on the island

The invertebrates of the North Bull Island have been studied and the island has been shown to contain at least seven species of regional or national importance in Ireland (from the Orders Diptera, Hymenoptera and Hemiptera).

The main land uses of this site are amenity activities and nature conservation. The North Bull Island is the main recreational beach in Co. Dublin and is used throughout the year. Much of the land surface of the island is taken up by two golf courses. Two separate Statutory Nature Reserves cover much of the island east of the Bull Wall and the surrounding intertidal flats. The site is used regularly for educational purposes. North Bull Island has been designated a Special Protection Area under the E.U. Birds Directive and it is also a statutory Wildfowl Sanctuary, a Ramsar Convention site, a Biogenetic Reserve, a Biosphere Reserve and a Special Area Amenity Order site.

This site is an excellent example of a coastal site with all the main habitats represented. The site holds good examples of nine habitats that are listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive; one of these is listed with priority status. Several of the wintering bird species have populations of international importance, while some of the invertebrates are of national importance. The site contains a numbers of rare and scarce plants including some which are legally protected. Its proximity to the capital city makes North Dublin Bay an excellent site for educational studies and research.

SITE SYNOPSIS

SITE NAME: NORTH BULL ISLAND SPA

SITE CODE: 004006

This site covers all of the inner part of north Dublin Bay, with the seaward boundary extending from the Bull Wall lighthouse across to Drumleck Point at Howth Head. The North Bull Island sand spit is a relatively recent depositional feature, formed as a result of improvements to Dublin Port during the 18th and 19th centuries. It is almost 5 km long and 1 km wide and runs parallel to the coast between Clontarf and Sutton. Part of the interior of the island has been converted to golf courses.

Saltmarsh extends along the length of the landward side of the island and provides the main roost site for wintering birds in Dublin Bay. The island shelters two intertidal lagoons which are divided by a solid causeway. These lagoons provide the main feeding grounds for the wintering waterfowl. The sediments of the lagoons are mainly sands with a small and varying mixture of silt and clay. Green algal mats (*Ulva* spp.) are a feature of the flats during summer. These sediments have a rich macro-invertebrate fauna, with high densities of Lugworm (*Arenicola marina*) and Ragworm (*Hediste diversicolor*).

The site is a Special Protection Area (SPA) under the E.U. Birds Directive, of special conservation interest for the following species: Light-bellied Brent Goose, Shelduck, Teal, Pintail, Shoveler, Oystercatcher, Golden Plover, Grey Plover, Knot, Sanderling, Dunlin, Black-tailed Godwit, Bar-tailed Godwit, Curlew, Redshank, Turnstone and Black-headed Gull. The site is also of special conservation interest for holding an assemblage of over 20,000 wintering waterbirds. The E.U. Birds Directive pays particular attention to wetlands and, as these form part of this SPA, the site and its associated waterbirds are of special conservation interest for Wetland & Waterbirds.

The North Bull Island SPA is of international importance for waterfowl on the basis that it regularly supports in excess of 20,000 waterfowl. The site supports internationally important populations of three species, Light-bellied Brent Goose (1,548), Black-tailed Godwit (367) and Bar-tailed Godwit (1,529) - all figures are mean peaks for the five winters between 1995/96 and 1999/2000. The site is one of the most important in the country for Light-bellied Brent Goose. A further 14 species have populations of national importance - Shelduck (1,259), Teal (953), Pintail (233), Shoveler (141), Oystercatcher (1,784), Grey Plover (517), Golden Plover (2,033), Knot (2,837), Sanderling (141), Dunlin (4,146), Curlew (937), Redshank (1,431), Turnstone (157) and Black-headed Gull (2,196). The populations of Pintail and Knot are of particular note as they comprise 14% and 10% respectively of the all-Ireland population totals. Other species that occur regularly in winter include Grey Heron, Little Egret, Cormorant, Wigeon, Goldeneye, Red-breasted Merganser, Ringed Plover and Greenshank. Gulls are a feature of the site during winter and, along with the nationally important population of Black-headed Gull (2,196), other species that occur include Common Gull (332) and Herring Gull (331). While some of the birds

also frequent South Dublin Bay and the River Tolka Estuary for feeding and/or roosting purposes, the majority remain within the site for much of the winter. The wintering bird populations have been monitored more or less continuously since the late 1960s and the site is now surveyed each winter as part of the larger Dublin Bay complex.

The North Bull Island SPA is a regular site for passage waders, especially Ruff, Curlew Sandpiper and Spotted Redshank. These are mostly observed in single figures in autumn but occasionally in spring or winter.

The site formerly had an important colony of Little Tern but breeding has not occurred in recent years. Several pairs of Ringed Plover breed, along with Shelduck in some years. Breeding passerines include Skylark, Meadow Pipit, Stonechat and Reed Bunting. The island is a regular wintering site for Short-eared Owl, with up to 5 present in some winters.

The North Bull Island SPA is an excellent example of an estuarine complex and is one of the top sites in Ireland for wintering waterfowl. It is of international importance on account of both the total number of waterfowl and the individual populations of Light-bellied Brent Goose, Black-tailed Godwit and Bar-tailed Godwit that use it. Also of significance is the regular presence of several species that are listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, notably Golden Plover and Bar-tailed Godwit, but also Ruff and Short-eared Owl. North Bull Island is a Ramsar Convention site, and part of the North Bull Island SPA is a Statutory Nature Reserve and a Wildfowl Sanctuary.

25.3.2014

SITE SYNOPSIS

SITE NAME: SOUTH DUBLIN BAY AND RIVER TOLKA ESTUARY SPA

SITE CODE: 004024

The South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA comprises a substantial part of Dublin Bay. It includes the intertidal area between the River Liffey and Dun Laoghaire, and the estuary of the River Tolka to the north of the River Liffey, as well as Booterstown Marsh. A portion of the shallow marine waters of the bay is also included.

In the south bay, the intertidal flats extend for almost 3 km at their widest. The sediments are predominantly well-aerated sands. Several permanent channels exist, the largest being Cockle Lake. A small sandy beach occurs at Merrion Gates, while some bedrock shore occurs near Dun Laoghaire. The landward boundary is now almost entirely artificially embanked. There is a bed of Dwarf Eelgrass (*Zostera noltii*) below Merrion Gates which is the largest stand on the east coast. Green algae (*Ulva* spp.) are distributed throughout the area at a low density. The macro-invertebrate fauna is well-developed, and is characterised by annelids such as Lugworm (*Arenicola marina*), *Nephtys* spp. and Sand Mason (*Lanice conchilega*), and bivalves, especially Cockle (*Cerastoderma edule*) and Baltic Tellin (*Macoma balthica*). The small gastropod Spire Shell (*Hydrobia ulvae*) occurs on the muddy sands off Merrion Gates, along with the crustacean *Corophium volutator*. Sediments in the Tolka Estuary vary from soft thixotropic muds with a high organic content in the inner estuary to exposed, well-aerated sands off the Bull Wall. The site includes Booterstown Marsh, an enclosed area of saltmarsh and muds that is cut off from the sea by the Dublin/Wexford railway line, being linked only by a channel to the east, the Nutley stream. Sea water incursions into the marsh occur along this stream at high tide. An area of grassland at Poolbeg, north of Irishtown Nature Park, is also included in the site.

The site is a Special Protection Area (SPA) under the E.U. Birds Directive, of special conservation interest for the following species: Light-bellied Brent Goose, Oystercatcher, Ringed Plover, Grey Plover, Knot, Sanderling, Dunlin, Bar-tailed Godwit, Redshank, Black-headed Gull, Roseate Tern, Common Tern and Arctic Tern. The E.U. Birds Directive pays particular attention to wetlands, and as these form part of the SPA, the site and its associated waterbirds are of special conservation interest for Wetland & Waterbirds.

The site is an important site for wintering waterfowl, being an integral part of the internationally important Dublin Bay complex – all counts for wintering waterbirds are five year mean peaks for the period 1995/96 to 1999/2000. Although birds regularly commute between the south bay and the north bay, recent studies have shown that certain populations which occur in the south bay spend most of their time there. An internationally important population of Light-bellied Brent Goose (368) occurs regularly and newly arrived birds in the autumn feed on the Eelgrass bed at

Merrion. At the time of designation the site supported nationally important numbers of a further nine species: Oystercatcher (1,145), Ringed Plover (161), Grey Plover (45), Knot (548), Sanderling (321), Dunlin (1,923), Bar-tailed Godwit (766), Redshank (260) and Black-headed Gull (3,040). Other species occurring in smaller numbers include Great Crested Grebe (21), Curlew (127) and Turnstone (52). Little Egret, a species which has recently colonised Ireland, also occurs at this site.

South Dublin Bay is a significant site for wintering gulls, with a nationally important population of Black-headed Gull, but also Common Gull (330) and Herring Gull (348). Mediterranean Gull is also recorded from here, occurring through much of the year, but especially in late winter/spring and again in late summer into winter.

Both Common Tern and Arctic Tern breed in Dublin Docks, on a man-made mooring structure known as the E.S.B. dolphin – this is included within the site. Small numbers of Common Tern and Arctic Tern were recorded nesting on this dolphin in the 1980s. A survey in 1995 recorded nationally important numbers of Common Tern nesting here (52 pairs). The breeding population of Common Tern at this site has increased, with 216 pairs recorded in 2000. This increase was largely due to the ongoing management of the site for breeding terns. More recent data highlights this site as one of the most important Common Tern sites in the country with over 400 pairs recorded here in 2007.

South Dublin Bay is an important staging/passage site for a number of tern species in the autumn (mostly late July to September). The origin of many of the birds is likely to be the Dublin breeding sites (Rockabill and the Dublin Docks) though numbers suggest that the site is also used by birds from other sites, perhaps outside the state. This site is selected for designation for its autumn tern populations: Roseate Tern (2,000 in 1999), Common Tern (5,000 in 1999) and Arctic Tern (20,000 in 1996).

The South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA is of ornithological importance as it supports an internationally important population of Light-bellied Brent Goose and nationally important populations of a further nine wintering species. Furthermore, the site supports a nationally important colony of breeding Common Tern and is an internationally important passage/staging site for three tern species. It is of note that four of the species that regularly occur at this site are listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, i.e. Bar-tailed Godwit, Common Tern, Arctic Tern and Roseate Tern. Sandymount Strand/Tolka Estuary is also a Ramsar Convention site.

30.5.2015



Site Name: Rye Water Valley/Carton SAC

Site Code: 001398

Rye Water Valley/Carton SAC is located between Leixlip and Maynooth, in Counties Meath and Kildare, and extends along the Rye Water, a tributary of the River Liffey.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

- [7220] Petrifying Springs*
- [1014] Narrow-mouthed Whorl Snail (*Vertigo angustior*)
- [1016] Desmoulin's Whorl Snail (*Vertigo moulinsiana*)

The Rye Water in Carton Estate is dammed at intervals, creating a series of lakes. Reed Sweet-grass (*Glyceria maxima*) is frequent around the lakes, along with Yellow Iris (*Iris pseudacorus*), Reed Canary-grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), Bulrush (*Typha latifolia*), Water Forget-me-not (*Myosotis scorpioides*), Marsh-marigold (*Caltha palustris*) and starworts (*Callitriche* spp.). Along the remainder of the site the river has been dredged and much of the reed fringe removed.

To the north-west of Carton Bridge a small clump of willows (*Salix* spp.), with dogwood (*Cornus* sp.), Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) and Elder (*Sambucus nigra*) occurs. The ground flora found here includes Golden Saxifrage (*Chrysosplenium oppositifolium*), Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*), Common Valerian (*Valeriana officinalis*), Wavy Bitter-cress (*Cardamine flexuosa*) and Bittersweet (*Solanum dulcamara*).

The woods on Carton Estate are mostly old demesne woods with both deciduous and coniferous species. Conifers, including some Yew (*Taxus baccata*) – a native species, are dominant, with Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), oak (*Quercus* sp.), Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*), Ash and Hazel (*Corylus avellana*) also occurring. The ground flora is dominated by Ivy (*Hedera helix*), with such species as Hedge Woundwort (*Stachys sylvatica*), Wood Speedwell (*Veronica montana*), Woodruff (*Galium odoratum*), Wood Avens (*Geum urbanum*), Common Dog-violet (*Viola riviniana*), Wild Angelica (*Angelica sylvestris*), Ramsons (*Allium ursinum*), Ground-ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*) and Ivy Broomrape (*Orobanche hederaceae*) also found.

Hairy St. John's-wort (*Hypericum hirsutum*), a species legally protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 1999, occurs in Carton Estate and there is an old record from the estate for the similarly protected Hairy Violet (*Viola hirta*). However, this latter species has not been recorded from the site in recent years. Another species

listed in the Red Data Book, Green Figwort (*Scrophularia umbrosa*), occurs on the site in several locations by the Rye Water. The woods at Carton Demesne are the site of a rare Myxomycete fungus, *Diderma deplanatum*.

The marsh, mineral spring and seepage area found at Louisa Bridge supports a good diversity of plant species, including stoneworts, Marsh Arrowgrass (*Triglochin palustris*), Purple Moor-grass (*Molinia caerulea*), sedges (*Carex* spp.), Common Butterwort (*Pinguicula vulgaris*), Marsh Lousewort (*Pedicularis palustris*), Grass-of-parnassus (*Parnassia palustris*) and Cuckooflower (*Cardamine pratensis*). The mineral spring found at the site is of a type considered to be rare in Europe and is a habitat listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The Red Data Book species Blue Fleabane (*Erigeron acer*) is found growing on a wall at Louisa Bridge.

Within the woods, Blackcap, Woodcock and Long-eared Owl have been recorded. Little Grebe, Coot, Moorhen, Tufted Duck, Teal and Kingfisher, the latter a species listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, occur on and about the lake.

The Rye Water is also a spawning ground for Trout and Salmon, and the rare, White-clawed Crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) has been recorded at Leixlip. The latter two species are listed on Annex II of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The rare Narrow-mouthed Whorl Snail and Desmoulin's Whorl Snail occur in marsh vegetation near Louisa Bridge. Both are rare in Ireland and in Europe, and are listed on Annex II of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The scarce dragonfly, *Orthetrum coerulescens*, has also been recorded at Louisa Bridge.

The conservation importance of the site lies in the presence of several rare and threatened plant and animal species, and the presence of petrifying springs, a habitat type listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The woods found on Carton Estate and their birdlife are of additional interest.



Site Name: Glenasmole Valley SAC

Site Code: 001209

Glenasmole Valley in south Co. Dublin lies on the edge of the Wicklow uplands, approximately 5 km from Tallaght. The River Dodder flows through the valley and has been impounded here to form two reservoirs which supply water to south Dublin. The non-calcareous bedrock of the Glenasmole Valley has been overlain by deep drift deposits which now line the valley sides. They are partly covered by scrub and woodland, and on the less precipitous parts, by a herb-rich grassland. There is much seepage through the deposits, which brings to the surface water rich in bases, which induces local patches of calcareous fen and, in places, petrifying springs.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

[6210] Orchid-rich Calcareous Grassland*

[6410] *Molinia* Meadows

[7220] Petrifying Springs*

At this site, examples of calcareous fen and flush occur between the two reservoirs, where sedges (including *Carex flacca* and *C. panicea*) are joined by such species as Grass-of-parnassus (*Parnassia palustris*), Few-flowered Spike-rush (*Eleocharis quinqueflora*), Zig-zag clover (*Trifolium medium*) and the scarce Fen Bedstraw (*Galium uliginosum*). Tufa depositing springs are long-known from the site, along the valley sides, and some have substantial tufa mounds and banks. Tufa formation is also known from small streams within the woodland at the site. Within the hazel woods, and associated with the springs and flushes, a distinctive flora with Marsh Hawk's-beard (*Crepis paludosa*) and luxuriant stands of Great Horsetail (*Equisetum telmateia*) has developed.

Orchid-rich grassland occurs in the drier parts of this site and in places grades into *Molinia* meadow. Orchids recorded in these habitats include Frog Orchid (*Coeloglossum viride*), Northern Marsh-orchid (*Dactylorhiza purpurella*), Fragrant Orchid (*Gymnadenia conopsea*), Marsh Helleborine (*Epipactis palustris*), Early-purple Orchid (*Orchis mascula*) and Greater Butterfly Orchid (*Platanthera chlorantha*). Two further orchid species, both Red Data Book-listed, have also been found here, Green-winged Orchid (*Orchis morio*) and Small-white Orchid (*Pseudorchis albida*). Common grasses in the sward include Sweet Vernal-grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*), Creeping Bent (*Agrostis stolonifera*) and Crested Dog's-tail (*Cynosurus cristatus*). Other species which occur are Common Bird's-foot-trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*), Kidney Vetch (*Anthyllis vulneraria*), Common Restharrow (*Ononis repens*), Yellow-wort (*Blackstonia*

perfoliata) and Autumn Gentian (*Gentianella amarella*). While much of the calcareous grassland has been improved to some extent for agriculture, a suite of typical species still remain.

The areas of *Molinia* meadows at the site occur associated with the grasslands on the valley sides, and in particular in seepage and flushed areas. Typical and indicative species include Greater Bird's-foot-trefoil (*Lotus uliginosus*), Tormentil (*Potentilla erecta*), Purple Moor-grass (*Molinia caerulea*), Sharp-flowered Rush (*Juncus acutiflorus*), Adder's-tongue (*Ophioglossum vulgatum*), Meadow Thistle (*Cirsium dissectum*) and Fen Bedstraw. As noted above, orchids are frequent in the grasslands at this site.

Woodland occurs in patches around the site. On the east side of the valley, below the northern lake, a Hazel (*Corylus avellana*) wood has developed on the unstable calcareous slopes and includes other species such as Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), Downy Birch (*Betula pubescens*), Goat Willow (*Salix caprea*) and (Irish) Whitebeam (*Sorbus hibernica*). Spring Wood-rush (*Luzula pilosa*), Wood Speedwell (*Veronica montana*) and Bramble (*Rubus fruticosus* agg.) are present in the ground flora.

Wet semi-natural broadleaved woodland is also found around the reservoirs and includes Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) and willow (*Salix* spp.), with Yellow Iris (*Iris pseudacorus*), horsetails (*Equisetum* spp.), Bramble and localised patches of Japanese Knotweed (*Reynoutria japonica*), an introduced and invasive species.

The lake shore vegetation is not well developed, which is typical of a reservoir. There are occasional patches of Reed Canary-grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) and Purple-loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), which are more extensive around the western shore of the northern lake, along with Common Marsh-bedstraw (*Galium palustre*) and Water Mint (*Mentha aquatica*). Other vegetation includes Shoreweed (*Littorella uniflora*) and the scarce Water Sedge (*Carex aquatilis*).

As well as the Green-winged Orchid and Small-white Orchid, two other threatened species which are listed in the Irish Red Data Book occur in the site, Yellow Archangel (*Lamiastrum galeobdolon*) and Yellow Bird's-nest (*Monotropa hypopitys*). Small-white Orchid is legally protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 1999.

The site provides excellent habitat for bats, with at least four species recorded: Pipistrelle, Leisler's, Daubenton's and Brown Long-eared. Otter occurs along the river and reservoirs.

The site supports Kingfisher, an Annex I species under the E.U. Birds Directive.

Glenasmole Valley contains a high diversity of habitats and plant communities, including three habitats listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The presence of four Red Data Book plant species further adds to the value of the site, as does the presence of populations of several mammal and bird species of conservation interest.

National Parks and Wildlife Service

Conservation Objectives Series

South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA
004024



*An Roinn
Ealaíon, Oidhreachta agus Gaeltachta*

*Department of
Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht*



**National Parks and Wildlife Service,
Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht,**

7 Ely Place, Dublin 2, Ireland.

Web: www.npws.ie

E-mail: nature.conservation@ahg.gov.ie

Citation:

**NPWS (201) Conservation Objectives: South Dublin Bay and River Tolka
Estuary SPA 004024. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department
of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.**

Series Editor: Rebecca Jeffrey

ISSN 2009-4086

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

- population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and
- the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and
- there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

Notes/Guidelines:

1. The targets given in these conservation objectives are based on best available information at the time of writing. As more information becomes available, targets for attributes may change. These will be updated periodically, as necessary.
2. An appropriate assessment based on these conservation objectives will remain valid even if the targets are subsequently updated, providing they were the most recent objectives available when the assessment was carried out. It is essential that the date and version are included when objectives are cited.
3. Assessments cannot consider an attribute in isolation from the others listed for that habitat or species, or for other habitats and species listed for that site. A plan or project with an apparently small impact on one attribute may have a significant impact on another.
4. Please note that the maps included in this document do not necessarily show the entire extent of the habitats and species for which the site is listed. This should be borne in mind when appropriate assessments are being carried out.
5. When using these objectives, it is essential that the relevant backing/supporting documents are consulted, particularly where instructed in the targets or notes for a particular attribute.

Qualifying Interests

* indicates a priority habitat under the Habitats Directive

004024 South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA

- A046 Šā @ā^|ā áÁ Brent Goose *Branta bernicla hrota*
- A130 Oystercatcher *Haematopus ostralegus*
- A137 Ringed Plover *Charadrius hiaticula*
- A141 Grey Plover *Pluvialis squatarola*
- A143 Knot *Calidris canutus*
- A144 Sanderling *Calidris alba*
- A149 Dunlin *Calidris alpina alpina*
- A157 Bar-tailed Godwit *Limosa lapponica*
- A162 Redshank *Tringa totanus*
- A179 Black-headed Gull *Chroicocephalus ridibundus*
- A192 Roseate Tern *Sterna dougallii*
- A193 Common Tern *Sterna hirundo*
- A194 Arctic Tern *Sterna paradisaea*
- A999 Wetlands

Please note that this SPA overlaps with South Dublin Bay SAC (000210). It adjoins North Bull Island SPA (004006) and North Dublin Bay SAC (000206). See map 2. The conservation objectives for this site should be used in conjunction with those for overlapping and adjacent sites as appropriate.

Supporting documents, relevant reports & publications

Supporting documents, NPWS reports and publications are available for download from: www.npws.ie/Publications

NPWS Documents

Year : 2014
Title : North Bull Island SPA (site code: 4006) and South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA (site code: 4024) Conservation objectives supporting document V1
Author : NPWS
Series : Conservation objectives supporting document

Other References

Year : 1995
Title : Seabird monitoring handbook for Britain and Ireland: a compilation of methods for survey and monitoring of breeding seabirds
Author : Walsh, P.; Halley, D.J.; Harris, M.P.; del Nevo, A.; Sim, I.M.W.; Tasker, M.L.
Series : JNCC, Peterborough

Year : 2008
Title : Autumn roosting by terns in south Dublin Bay
Author : Merne, O.J.; Madden, B.; Archer, E.; Porter, B.
Series : Irish Birds 8: 335-340

Year : 2010
Title : Terns roosting in Dublin Bay, autumn 2010
Author : Merne, O.J.
Series : Irish Birds 9: 126-128

Year : 2014
Title : BirdLife International Seabird Ecology and Foraging Range Database
Author : BirdLife International
Series : <http://seabird.wikispaces.com>

Year : 2014
Title : Dublin Bay Birds Project - Dublin Port Tern Conservation Project; report for the 2014 season
Author : Newton S.; Tierney N.; Whelan R.
Series : BirdWatch Ireland and Dublin Port Company

Spatial data sources

Year :	2014
Title :	NPWS SPA boundary data
GIS Operations :	SPA boundary polygons divided into two classifications (wetlands, terrestrial) based on line identified by expert judgement. Expert opinion used as necessary to resolve any issues arising
Used For :	Wetlands (map 3)

A046 @[\HVY`]YX **Brent Goose *Branta bernicla hrota***

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Light-bellied Brent Goose in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by light-bellied brent goose, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A130 Oystercatcher *Haematopus ostralegus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Oystercatcher in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by oystercatcher, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document

A137 Ringed Plover *Charadrius hiaticula*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Ringed Plover in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by ringed plover, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of conservation objectives supporting document

Conservation Objectives for : South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA [004024]

A141 Grey Plover *Pluvialis squatarola*

Grey Plover is proposed for removal from the list of Special Conservation Interests for South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA. As a result, a site-specific conservation objective has not been set for this species.

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------

A143 Knot *Calidris canutus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Knot in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by knot, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

Conservation Objectives for : South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA [004024]

A144 Sanderling *Calidris alba*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Sanderling in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by sanderling, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A149 **Dunlin *Calidris alpina alpina***

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Dunlin in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by dunlin, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A157 Bar-tailed Godwit *Limosa lapponica*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Bar-tailed Godwit in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by bar-tailed godwit, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A162 Redshank *Tringa totanus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Redshank in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by redshank, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

A179 Black-headed Gull *Chroicocephalus ridibundus*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Black-headed Gull in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population trend	Percentage change	Long term population trend stable or increasing	Waterbird population trends are presented in part four of the conservation objectives supporting document
Distribution	Range, timing and intensity of use of areas	No significant decrease in the range, timing or intensity of use of areas by black-headed gull other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation	Waterbird distribution from the 2011/2012 waterbird survey programme is discussed in part five of the conservation objectives supporting document

Conservation Objectives for : South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA [004024]

A192 Roseate Tern *Sterna dougallii*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Roseate Tern in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Passage population: individuals	Number	No significant decline	Evening surveys of roosting terns in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA confirm the conservation importance of the south Dublin Bay area during the post-breeding/pre-migration period. Up to 11,700, 9,025 and 8,020 terns were recorded in 2006, 2007 and 2010 respectively. Given the counting conditions (i.e. low light levels and long distance recording) it was rarely possible to identify the terns to species level but the majority of the birds appear to have been common terns (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>), with smaller numbers of Arctic and roseate terns (<i>S. paradisaea</i> , <i>S. dougallii</i>) (sandwich, little and black terns (<i>S. sandvicensis</i> , <i>S. albifrons</i> , <i>Chlidonias niger</i>) were also recorded) (Merne et al., 2008; Merne 2010). At least 645 roseate tern have been recorded here during the aforementioned survey years. This estimate does not factor in turnover rates and therefore the total number of roseate tern using this SPA may be significantly higher
Distribution: roosting areas	Number; location; area (hectares)	No significant decline	Merne et al. (2008) describe the main roosting area as the exposed sand banks in south Dublin Bay primarily between the Martello Towers at Sandymount (319524, 232021) and Williamstown (320796, 229979). Terns have been occasionally recorded outside of this area on adjacent sandflats extending to Irishtown/South Bull Wall and to Blackrock but these birds eventually join the birds roosting in the main area (Merne et al., 2008)
Prey biomass available	Kilogrammes	No significant decline	Terns associated with the roost are thought to feed during the day in the wider Dublin Bay area but direct survey evidence is incomplete. Evening observations of terns arriving to the roosting area indicated that most flew in from an easterly and southeasterly direction leading the authors to suggest they were feeding in the shallow waters of the Kish/Bray and Burford Banks (Merne et al., 2008). During the breeding season, roseate terns can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Key prey items: Small, schooling marine fish, very rarely small crustaceans. Key habitats: roseate tern forage in/over shallow and upwelling areas, including tide rips and shoals and over sandy bottoms. Foraging range: max. 30km, mean max. 18.28km, mean 12.3km (Birdlife International, 2014). As these foraging range estimates relate to birds during the breeding season, the distances between post-breeding roost sites and feeding areas may be greater

Barriers to connectivity	Number; location; shape; area (hectares)	No significant increase	Terns associated with the roost are thought to feed during the day in the wider Dublin Bay area but direct survey evidence is incomplete. Evening observations of terns arriving to the roosting area indicated that most flew in from an easterly and southeasterly direction leading the authors to suggest they were feeding in the shallow waters of the Kish/Bray and Burford Banks (Merne et al., 2008). During the breeding season roseate terns can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Key habitats: roseate tern forage in/over shallow and upwelling areas, including tide rips and shoals and over sandy bottoms. Foraging range: max. 30km, mean max. 18.28km, mean 12.3km (Birdlife International, 2014). As these foraging range estimates relate to birds during the breeding season, the distances between post-breeding roost sites and feeding areas may be greater
Disturbance at roosting site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the numbers of roseate tern among the post-breeding aggregation of terns	Merne et al. (2008) describes the main roosting area as the exposed sand banks in south Dublin Bay primarily between the Martello Towers at Sandymount (319524, 232021) and Williamstown (320796, 229979). Although principally used as a night roost, birds begin to roost at least one hour before sunset during the period July - September with peak activity occurring between mid-August and mid-September (Merne et al., 2008; Merne, 2010). Merne (2010) recorded significant disturbance events to the roosting terns caused by people with dogs off the leash and kite surfing

Conservation Objectives for : South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA [004024]

A193 Common Tern *Sterna hirundo*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Common Tern in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population abundance: apparently occupied nests (AONs)	Number	No significant decline	Measure based on standard tern survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). For more information on the history and recent population estimates of the tern colony at this SPA see Newton et al. (2014)
Productivity rate: fledged young per breeding pair	Mean number	No significant decline	Measure based on standard tern survey methods (see Walsh et al., 1995). For more information on the history and recent population estimates of the tern colony at this SPA see Newton et al. (2014)
Passage population: individuals	Number	No significant decline	Evening surveys of roosting terns in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA confirm the conservation importance of the south Dublin Bay area during the post-breeding/pre-migration period. Up to 11,700, 9,025 and 8,020 terns were recorded in 2006, 2007 and 2010 respectively. Given the counting conditions (i.e. low light levels and long distance recording), it was rarely possible to identify terns to species level but the majority of the birds appear to have been common terns (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>), with smaller numbers of Arctic and roseate terns (<i>S. paradisaea</i> , <i>S. dougallii</i>); (sandwich, little and black terns (<i>S. sandvicensis</i> , <i>S. albifrons</i> , <i>Chlidonias niger</i>) were also recorded) (Merne et al., 2008; Merne 2010). At least 4,887 common tern have been recorded here during the aforementioned survey years. This estimate does not factor in turnover rates and therefore the total number of common tern using this SPA may be significantly higher
Distribution: breeding colonies	Number; location; area (Hectares)	No significant decline	The common tern breeding colony in Dublin Bay is primarily sited on an artificial structure known as the 'ESB Dolphin' (see Newton et al., 2014)
Distribution: roosting areas	Number; location; area (Hectares)	No significant decline	Merne et al. (2008) describe the main roosting area as the exposed sand banks in south Dublin Bay, primarily between the Martello Towers of at Sandymount (319524, 232021) and Williamstown (320796, 229979). Terns have been occasionally recorded outside of this area on adjacent sandflats extending to Irishtown/South Bull Wall and to Blackrock but these birds eventually joined the birds roosting in the main area (Merne et al 2008)
Prey biomass available	Kilogrammes	No significant decline	During the breeding season, common terns can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Key prey items: Small fish, crustaceans, insects and occasionally squid. Key habitats: forage in/over shallow coastal waters, bays, inlets, shoals, tidal-rips, drift lines, beaches, saltmarsh creeks, lakes, ponds or rivers. Foraging range: max. 37km; mean max. 33.81km; mean 8.67km (Birdlife International, 2014). Terns associated with the roost are thought to feed during the day in the wider Dublin Bay area but direct survey evidence is incomplete. Evening observations of arriving terns to the primary roosting area indicated that most flew into Dublin Bay from an easterly and southeasterly direction leading the authors to suggest they were feeding in the shallow waters of the Kish/Bray and Burford Banks (Merne et al., 2008). Foraging ranges between post-breeding roost sites and feeding areas may be greater than the estimates given for the breeding season

Barriers to connectivity	Number; location; shape; area (hectares)	No significant increase	During the breeding season, common terns can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Foraging range: max. 37km; mean max. 33.81km; mean 8.67km (Birdlife International, 2014). Terns associated with the roost are thought to feed during the day in the wider Dublin Bay area but direct survey evidence is incomplete. Evening observations of arriving terns to the primary roosting area indicated that most flew into Dublin Bay from an easterly and southeasterly direction leading the authors to suggest the birds were feeding in the shallow waters of the Kish/Bray and Burford Banks (Merne et al., 2008). Foraging ranges between post-breeding roost sites and feeding areas may be greater than the estimates given for the breeding season
Disturbance at breeding site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the breeding common tern population	The common tern breeding colony in Dublin Bay is primarily sited on an artificial structure known as the 'ESB Dolphin' (see Newton et al., 2014)
Disturbance at roosting site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the numbers of common tern among the post-breeding aggregation of terns	Merne et al (2008) describes the main roosting area as the exposed sand banks in south Dublin Bay primarily between the Martello Towers at Sandymount (319524, 232021) and Williamstown (320796, 229979). Although principally used as a night roost, birds begin to roost at least one hour before sunset during the period July - September with peak activity occurring between mid-August and mid-September (Merne et al 2008; Merne 2010). Merne (2010) recorded significant disturbance events to the roosting terns caused by people with dogs off the leash and kite surfing

Conservation Objectives for : South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA [004024]

A194 Arctic Tern *Sterna paradisaea*

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Arctic Tern in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:


Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Passage population	Number of individuals	No significant decline	Evening surveys of roosting terns in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA confirm the conservation importance of the south Dublin Bay area during the post-breeding/pre-migration period. Up to 11,700, 9,025 and 8,020 terns were recorded in 2006, 2007 and 2010 respectively. Given the counting conditions (i.e. low light levels and long distance recording) it was rarely possible to identify the terns to species level but the majority of the birds appear to have been common terns (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>), with smaller numbers of Arctic and roseate terns (<i>S. paradisaea</i> , <i>S. dougallii</i>); (sandwich, little and black terns (<i>S. sandvicensis</i> , <i>S. albifrons</i> , <i>Chlidonias niger</i>) were also recorded) (Merne et al., 2008; Merne 2010). At least 200 Arctic tern have been recorded here during the aforementioned survey years. This estimate does not factor in turnover rates and therefore the total number of Arctic tern using this SPA may be significantly higher
Distribution: roosting areas	Number; location; area (hectares)	No significant decline	Merne et al. (2008) describe the main roosting area as the exposed sand banks in south Dublin Bay primarily between the Martello Towers at Sandymount (319524, 232021) and Williamstown (320796, 229979). Terns have been occasionally recorded outside of this area on adjacent sandflats extending to Irishtown/South Bull Wall and to Blackrock but these birds eventually join the birds roosting in the main area (Merne et al., 2008)
Prey biomass available	Kilogrammes	No significant decline	Terns associated with the roost are thought to feed during the day in the wider Dublin Bay area but direct survey evidence is incomplete. Evening observations of terns arriving to the roosting area indicated that most flew in from an easterly and southeasterly direction leading the authors to suggest they were feeding in the shallow waters of the Kish/Bray and Burford Banks (Merne et al., 2008). During the breeding season Arctic terns can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Key prey items: Small fish, crustaceans and other invertebrates. Key habitats: forage in/over open waters and shallow bays, rocky shores, tidal flats, shoals, tide rips and ocean fronts. Foraging range: max. 20.6km, mean max. 12.24km, mean 11.75km (Birdlife International, 2014). As these foraging range estimates relate to birds during the breeding season, the distances between post-breeding roost sites and feeding areas may be greater

Barriers to connectivity	Number; location; shape; area (hectares)	No significant increase	Terns associated with the roost are thought to feed during the day in the wider Dublin Bay area but direct survey evidence is incomplete. Evening observations of arriving terns to the primary roosting area indicated that most flew into Dublin Bay from an easterly and southeasterly direction leading the authors to suggest the birds were feeding in the shallow waters of the Kish/Bray and Burford Banks (Merne et al., 2008). During the breeding season Arctic terns can make extensive use of marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies. Foraging range: max. 20.6km, mean max. 12.24km, mean 11.75km (Birdlife International, 2014). As these foraging range estimates relate to birds during the breeding season, the distances between post-breeding roost sites and feeding areas may be greater
Disturbance at roosting site	Level of impact	Human activities should occur at levels that do not adversely affect the numbers of Arctic tern among the post-breeding aggregation of terns	Merne et al. (2008) describes the main roosting area as the exposed sand banks in south Dublin Bay primarily between the Martello Towers at Sandymount (319524, 232021) and Williamstown (320796, 229979). Although principally used as a night roost, birds begin to roost at least one hour before sunset during the period July - September with peak activity occurring between mid-August and mid-September (Merne et al., 2008; Merne, 2010). Merne (2010) recorded significant disturbance events to the roosting terns caused by people with dogs off the leash and kite surfing

A999 Wetlands

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of the wetland habitat in South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA as a resource for the regularly occurring migratory waterbirds that utilise it. This is defined by the following attribute and target:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Habitat area	Hectares	The permanent area occupied by the wetland habitat should be stable and not significantly less than the area of 2,192 hectares, other than that occurring from natural patterns of variation. See map 3	The wetland habitat area was estimated as 2,192ha using OSi data and relevant orthophotographs. For further information see part three of the conservation objectives supporting document



Legend
 South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA 004024

**An Roinn
Ealaíon, Oidhreachta agus Gaeltachta**
 Department of
 Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht

**MAP 1:
SOUTH DUBLIN BAY AND
RIVER TOLKA ESTUARY SPA
CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES
SPA DESIGNATION**
 Map to be read in conjunction with the NPWS Conservation Objectives Document.


**SITE CODE:
SPA 004024; version 2. CO. DUBLIN**

0 0.5 1 1.5 2 km

The mapped boundaries are of an indicative and general nature only. Boundaries of designated areas are subject to revision.
 Ordnance Survey of Ireland Licence No EN 0059214. © Ordnance Survey of Ireland Government of Ireland


Níl sna teorainneacha ar na léarscáléanna ach nod garshuíomhach ginearálta. Féadfar athbheirithníthe a déanamh ar theorainneacha na gceantar comharthaithe. Suirbhéarachta Ordonáis na hÉireann Ceadúnas Uimh EN 0059214. © Suirbhéarachta Ordonáis na hÉireann Rialtas na hÉireann

**Map Version 1
Date: Sep 2014**



Legend

- South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA 004024
- North Bull Island SPA 004006
- North Dublin Bay SAC 000206
- South Dublin Bay SAC 000210
- OSi Discovery Series County Boundary



Legend

- South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA 004024
- OSi Discovery Series County Boundary
- Wetlands and Waterbirds**
- Wetlands
- Terrestrial